C. - min b

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE
DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF JUNE 1998
BEFORE

THE HON BLE MR. JUSTICE G. C. BHARUKA

## WRIT PETITION NO: 15318/1996

## BETWEEN:

Smt. Veena Nagaraj, w/o S.Nagaraj, 32 years, Lecturer in Economics, M.E.S. College, Malleswaram, Bangalore.

(By Sri V.Lakshminarayana, Adv) .. Petitioner

## AND:

- 1. The State of Karnataka, rep by its Secretary,

  Education Dept, M.S. Buildg,
  Bangalore.
- 2. The Director of Collegiate Education, Palace Road, Bangalore.
- The Principal,E.S. College, Malleswaram,Bangalore.

(By Sri  $G_{\bullet}P_{api}$   $R_{eddy}$ , AG% for  $R_{-1}$  & 2;  $R_{-3}$  served;)

.. Respondents

Writ petition is filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to direct the respondents to pay the salary to the pet from 1.9.94 immediately and etc.,

This petition coming on for final disposal thi day the Court made the following:

-: 1 :--

## W.P.15318/96



Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and M V. Vidya, learned H.C.G.P. for the respondents

- 2. petitioner is employee an Educational institution. The relief claimed by him in present writ petition pertains to his employment. Division Bench of this Court in W.A. No. 1833 to 1836 and connected matters (DD 30.05.1998) has held that even the private educational institution has been admitted grant-in-aid under the provisions of the Grant-in-Aid Code the State government, still no relationship of master servant between the government and the person like petitioner comes into being and therefore, the writ by such an employee is not maintainable under Arti 226 of the Constitution of India, since he has efficacious and alternative statutory remedies availa under the Karnataka Education Act, 1983 (in short 'the Act
- 3. In paragraph 12 of the judgment it has been h that.-

"As regards the locus standi of staff working aforesaid educational institutions to maintain the writ petitions, they have got the remedy of Section 94 of the under Education Act against an order of dismissal or removal from service or reduction in rank. Under Section 130, appeal is provided against any order passed by officer or authority under the Act. Section 131 provides for revision by Government either suo moto or on an application from any person interested. Section 132 of the Education Act provides for review by the State Government either suo moto or application received from

Lm

interested. The Government is also vested with the power to give direction under Section 133 of the Said Act to make enquiry or take appropriate proceeding by the Commissioner or Director or any officer not below the rank of District Educational Officer and to submit report. Thus, the Education Act provides for appeal, revision and review in respect of any order and in the of any order, the Government can be moved for issuing necessary direction in exercise of power under Section 133 and the staff can avail the said remedies. Even with regard to payment of salary, what is stated above with regard to the teaching and non-teaching staff applies the other staff also. Without exhausting such alternative remedy, they cannot be permitted to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court under extraordinary Article 226 of the Constitution."

For aforesaid reasons the the writ petition wis dismissed on the ground of availability of efficacious and effective alternative remedy. However, all the rights and contentions of the parties on merits are kept open for being agitated before the appropriate statutory authorities. advised, the petitioner may avail his statutory remedy by way filing appeal / revision / application as the case may be under the Act within SIX WEEKS from today and in the event of filing of the same, the concerned authorities will dispose of such appeal / revision / application within THREE months from the date filing thereof. If any such remedy has already been availed, then the same should be disposed of within THREE months from the date of communication of this order.



Sd/-JUDGE

jsk/-